Crl. Rev. No. 346/01
(Old No. 341/1998)

Hon'ble Irshad Hussain, J.

None appear for the revisionist. Heard Sri A.Rab Addl. G.A. and perused the impugned judgement dated 10.12.1997.

By the said judgement, the learned Principal Judge, Family Court has allowed the petition under section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure which was preferred by the respondent-Smt. Ganga Devi.

From the perusal of the judgment, it is evidence that the wife was not provided with the maintenance while she was living separately on account of sufficient reason. The evidence was considered in proper perspective by the learned Principal Judge, Family Court and therefore there is no perversity whatsoever in the approach adopted by the learned Judge. It was also directed to pay Rs. 3000/- per month to the wife for maintenance, on this score also there is no merit in this revision.

Considering the above facts, the revision is dismissed in limine. Consign.

(Irshad Hussain, J.)

Dated 27.5.2004
Rawat